

VIA E-FILE

PATENT APPLICATION

Docket No. 15689.45.1.1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of)
)
	Tomoyuki Ohtani et al.)
)
Serial No.:	10/810,263) Art Unit
) 2617
Filed:	March 26, 2004)
)
Confirmation No.:	1121)
)
For:	MOBILE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM,)
	MOBILE STATION AND DIVERSITY)
	HANDOVER BRANCH CONTROL METHOD)
)
Examiner:	William D. Cumming)
)
Customer No.:	022913)

Via E-File - AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated June 16, 2006, (paper No. 20060612), Applicant respectfully elects Invention I, directed towards Claims 6-17 without traverse. As an related matter, the Applicants were wondering if the Examiner intended for Claim 17 to be included in Invention I, since Claim 17 is directed towards a mobile station (see mobile claims 18-22 of Invention II), whereas Claims 6-16 are method claims. Even if Claim 17 is not included within Invention I, and Invention I is modified to include only Claims 6-16, the Applicants still elect Invention I for further prosecution.

Dated this 17th day of July, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

WORKMAN NYDEGGER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'AJL', written in a cursive style.

ADRIAN J. LEE
Registration No. 42,785
Attorney for Applicant
Customer No. 022913

AJL:ds
DS0000006205V001